## SUPPLEMENTARY REPORTS

## AREA 2 PLANNING COMMITTEE

DATED 4 July 2012

Kings Hill

TM/12/00788/FL

Kings Hill

Two storey rear extension and single storey side extension at 4 Cellini Walk Kings Hill West Malling Kent ME19 4BA for Mr S Pinnell

No further matters to report.

Recommendation: unchanged.

Borough Green TM/11/02591/FL

Borough Green And Long Mill

Change of use: restaurant eat-in (Class A3) to restaurant eat-in with deliveries and takeaway (Class A3/A5) at Basement 49 High Street Borough Green Sevenoaks Kent TN15 8BT for Mr Aymal Mohammad

It had been anticipated that a further accurate site plan would have been submitted but this has not yet occurred. I have also been in discussion with DHH with regard to matters related to nuisance and KCC in relation to parking/waiting arrangements and, in light of the absence of the plan I feel that these matters can all be fully finalised to ensure that proper and appropriate conditional controls can be applied that meet the necessary tests.

## **Revised Recommendation:-**

DEFER to finalise the resolution of the points mentioned above but subject to:

- The speaker(s) on 04 July being heard to ensure that their full comments are assessed in any reporting-back and
- That any speaker on 04 July be able to exercise the right to speak again at the time of the report-back

Leybourne TM/12/00498/AT

**West Malling and Leybourne** 

Erection of 1x double sided Corex board promotional sign at Castle Lake Castle Way Leybourne West Malling Kent ME19 5TR for Whitbread Group Plc

Members were advised in the main report that "clarification is being sought as to the [Leybourne] PC's views on the proposal and Members will be advised in a Supplementary Report".

Members are advised that the application as originally submitted proposed the removal of the existing low-level sign at the site and the provision of two additional signs, including one of a broadly similar size in a similar location as proposed presently. The PC's comments in respect of the *two* advertisements as originally proposed were:

"Object – on the grounds of overdevelopment and that the signs would be visually obtrusive".

Recommendation: unchanged.